#### **REZONING APPLICATION TO PUD-RES**

Located in:

Montgomery County, Virginia

Project Number: 3246.0

Date: November 1, 2023 Revised: December 21, 2023



1260 Radford Street · Christiansburg, Virginia 24073 540.381.6011 office · 540.381.2773 fax www.foresightdesignservices.com

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

REZONING	APPLICATION	. 3
REZONING	APPLICATION JUSTIFICATION	. 5
APPENDIC		22
А.	VOLUNTARY PROFFERS	23
В.	EXISTING CONDITIONS	26
C.	CONCEPT PLANS	28
D.	UTILITIES AND SWM PLAN	32
E.	LANDSCAPE AND BUFFER PLAN	34
F.	BUILDING ELEVATIONS	37
G.	PSA SEWER AVAILABILITY LETTER	39
Н.	TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS	42
I.	TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS SHEETZ COMPARISON	99

**REZONING APPLICATION** 



# **Rezoning Application Form**

Rezoning, Conditional Zoning, Proffer Amendment Montgomery County, Virginia 755 Roanoke St. Suite 2A, Christiansburg, VA 24073 540-394-2148 | mcplan@montgomerycountyva.gov

Application Request: (Please check one) 
Conditional Rezoning Rezoning Amend Proffers

#### Applicant Information: (PLEASE PRINT - if additional owners, please attach additional sheets)

Owner of Record (attach separate page for add'l owners):	Address:
SHAH Development, LLC	P.O. Box 1499, Christiansburg, VA 24068
Telephone:	Email:
(540) 381-8429	kconner@shahllc.com

Applicant Name: Owne	er Contract Purchaser/Lessee	Address:	
SHAH Development, LLC		P.O. Box 1499, Christiansburg, VA 24068	
Telephone: (540) 381-8429		Email: kconner@shahllc.com	
Representative Name and Foresight Design Services	Company:	Address: 1260 Radford Street, Christiansburg, VA 24073	
Telephone: (540) 381-6011	3	Email: info@foresightdesignservices.com	_

#### **Property Description:**

Location or Address: (Describe in relation to nearest inters	section)	
Property lies east of the intersection of Houchins Road and	Crosscreek Drive	
Parcel ID Number(s): 018437, 018441	Acreage: 26.718 total (21.148 RM/5.57 C-1	Existing Zoning: M1/C1
Comprehensive Plan Designation: Urban Expansion Area	Existing Use: Vacant Lot/ Wooded area	

Description of Request: (Please provide additional information on attached sheet if necessary)

Proposed Zoning (Include Acreage ): PUD-RES

Proposed Use:

Single Famly Homes, Townhomes and Duplex

I certify that the information supplied on this application and on the attachments provided (maps or other information) is accurate and true to the best of my knowledge. In addition, I hereby grant permission to the agents and employees of Montgomery County and State of Virginia to enter the above property for the purposes of processing and reviewing the above application.

If signing on behalf of a corporation, Partnership, or LLC, please specify your title with the entity and provide documentation clarifying your authority to sign on behalf of the entity.

Owne Signat

Owner 2 Signature (for add'l owners please attach separate sheet)

Applicant Signatu

Representative/Agent Signature

16.27-23 Date

Date

10.27-

Date

Date

**REZONING APPLICATION JUSTIFICATION** 

## **Rezoning Justification**

The applicant for rezoning shall provide a statement of justification to address the following items in the application materials to demonstrate what impact the proposed request will have on the County's resources and how the request complies with Montgomery County's Comprehensive Plan.

#### Section 10-54(1)(k)(4), Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance

4. Zoning Map Amendments. If the application is for a reclassification of property to a different zoning district classification on the Zoning Map, the applicant shall address all the following in its statement of justification or plat unless not applicable. The Planning Commission shall give reasonable consideration to the following matters:

- a. Whether the proposed zoning district classification is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
   Response: PUD-RES is consistent with the Urban Expansion designation for the Comprehensive Plan. Urban Expansion per the Comprehensive Plan is for the development of residential and non-residential projects. In particular, the providing of multiple potential housing types close to the existing Industrial Park and the Interstate Interchange is an ideal proposal for efficient/sustainable development of a parcel designated as Urban Expansion.
- b. Whether there are any changed or changing conditions in the area affected that make the proposed rezoning appropriate.
   Response: With Phase III of Walnut Creek approved and ready to build, the current site will compliment Walnut Creek and provide further expansion with additional residential housing in this area.
- c. Whether the range of uses in the proposed zoning district classification are compatible with the uses permitted on other property in the immediate vicinity.
   Response: The PUD-RES zoning for residential is similar to the adjacent Walnut Creek Planned Unit Development. We are adding the option of developing some duplex house types to provide a different housing option for people who want to live in the area.
- d. Whether adequate utility, sewer and water, transportation, school and other facilities exist or can be provided to serve the uses that would be permitted on the property if it were rezoned.
   Response: All utility, water, sewer, transportation and schools are available for the proposed rezoned property.
- e. The effect of the proposed rezoning on the County's ground water supply. **Response: There are no effects to ground water sources.**

f. The effect of uses allowed by the proposed rezoning on the structural capacity of the soils.

Response: There is no expected impact on the structural capacity of the soils on site.

- g. The impact that the uses that would be permitted if the property were rezoned will have upon the volume of vehicular and pedestrian traffic and traffic safety in the vicinity and whether the proposed rezoning uses sufficient measures to mitigate the impact of through construction traffic on existing neighborhoods and school areas. Response: A full traffic impact analysis has been performed and is in the appendices of this rezoning. The TIA has been previously submitted to VDOT and was approved by them. It should be noted that with the current zoning of the large majority of the parcel as M1 Manufacturing that the proposed residential scale traffic of the rezoning is more compatible with the existing street network and existing traffic on Houchins Road. An unknown M1-Manufacturing by right user could contribute negatively to the existing pedestrian and automobile traffic network.
- h. Whether a reasonably viable economic use of the subject property exists under the current zoning.

Response: The current zoning does provide for industrial use of the existing site; however, to match the adjacent growth of the area and the increase in housing along Houchins Road, the residential aspect of the proposed rezoning compliments the Urban Expansion as well as ties into the existing nearby subdivisions. The existing residential traffic on Houchins Road as well as the topography of the subject property does not economically lend itself to an industrial use.

- The effect of the proposed rezoning on environmentally sensitive land or natural features, wildlife habitat, vegetation, water quality and air quality.
   Response: The proposed subdivision will replace a majority of existing wooded area and will have construction activity that will create noise, air pollutants from construction equipment, and removed trees and vegetation from the area. However, once the subdivision is complete, stormwater bioretention facilities will preserve the water quality, street trees and buffer trees will be planted to restore some of the possible habitat lost as well as help with air quality. As much of the existing woods on the north end of the property as possible will be preserved.
- j. Whether the proposed rezoning encourages economic development activities in areas designated by the Comprehensive Plan and provides desirable employment and enlarges the tax base.

Response: The proposed rezoning will provide residential housing per the Urban Expansion area designated in the Comprehensive Plan. This will help to increase the population and taxbase and provide residential housing for a workforce to be close to jobs and transportation infrastructure.

- Whether the proposed rezoning considers the needs of agriculture, industry, and businesses in future growth.
   Response: The proposed rezoning seeks to match the trend of residential housing in the expansion area that will provide additional growth for businesses in the area.
- I. Whether the proposed rezoning considers the current and future requirements of the community as to land for various purposes as determined by population and economic studies.

Response: Per the Comprehensive Plan, the urban expansion need for the area is residential and nonresidential. The zoning for this area is typically either industrial or residential along the Town of Christiansburg corporate line. Therefore, by providing residential housing the current and future requirements of the urban expansion area are met.

m. Whether the proposed rezoning encourages the conservation of properties and their values and the encouragement of the most appropriate use of land throughout the County.

Response: The proposed rezoning tends to focus on the appropriate use for expansion and growth in this area of Montgomery County per the Comprehensive Plan. Providing additional housing within the urban expansion area will serve to lessen the pressure for development in areas of the County that are more rural in nature and lack services such as water and sewer.

n. Whether the proposed rezoning considers trends of growth or changes, employment, and economic factors, the need for housing, probable future economic and population growth of the county.

Response: This rezoning considers growth of the area and the needs for residential housing to help with population growth in Montgomery County.

- o. The effect of the proposed rezoning on the provision of moderate housing by enhancing opportunities for all qualified residents of Montgomery County.
   Response: The proposed development will provide housing opportunities for residents of Montgomery County and in particular the added housing capacity serves to provide movement among all different economic categories through 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> order impacts.
- p. The effect of the rezoning on natural, scenic, archaeological, or historic features of significant importance.
   Response: No known features will be impacted.

## **Comprehensive Plan Justification**

#### Introduction:

Any development within Montgomery County is viewed by the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, County Staff, and Citizens through the prism of the comprehensive plan. The following narrative and analysis will address points within the comprehensive plan and discuss how the proposed use aligns with the vision, goals, and objectives of the comprehensive plan. Please note that below are excerpts from the adopted 2025 Comprehensive Plan and one should refer to the Plan for the full text.

The subject property is identified in the Comprehensive Plan as part of the Urban Expansion Area. The text below is from the Comprehensive Plan, with the bold text demonstrating how the proposal meets the guidelines. Additional comprehensive references are included within the narrative.

#### **Overview:**

The project proposes to allow for 26.718 acres of land to be developed into residential housing located north of the Town of Christiansburg Industrial Park near the Walnut Creek Subdivision area in Montgomery County. The entirety of the 26.718 acres is vacant and wooded terrain which is located along Houchins Road. The western area is bounded by Houchins Road and Industrial areas of the Town as well as the Walnut Creek Subdivision. To the north are residential properties, the east by woods, and the south is the corporate limits for the Town as well as industrial properties. The subdivision will consist of a mixture of townhomes, single family residences, and possibly duplexes. The subdivision will also be provided with recreational open space amenities, bus access, sidewalks, trails, private roadways as well as utilities infrastructure and stormwater management facilities. Stormwater management will be achieved through the use of an onsite detention facility. Stormwater quality will be handled by the dedication of open space on the site, bio retention cells, and the purchase of nutrient credits.

#### **Policy Chapters:**

#### **Planning and Land Use**

PLU 1.8.3 Urban Expansion Area Land Use:

a. Urban Expansion Areas are the preferred location for new residential and nonresidential development occurring in the unincorporated areas of Montgomery County.
 Discussion – This proposed rezoning will meet the preferred location for residential development.

PLU 1.8.5 Urban Expansion Area Facilities and Utilities:

a. Urban Expansion Areas are or will be served by public sewer and water services provided by the County or by the towns and the city, by mutual agreement.
 Discussion – The subdivision will be connected to both water and sewer services through coordination efforts with the Town and Christiansburg and the Montgomery County PSA.

#### **Conclusion:**

The McClane Heights Subdivision fits within the Comprehensive Plan for Montgomery County's Urban Expansion Areas by providing residential housing with utilities from both the County and Town.

## **Rezoning Narrative**

#### Section 1: Project Background

#### **Rezoning Request:**

The property owner, Shah Development, requests to rezone property identified as Tax Parcel Numbers 080-A-44 and 080-A-46 to PUD-RES for the development of McClane Heights. Currently, the property is zoned Manufacturing (M1), with a small portion of the site zoned Conservation (C1). Note that this portion of the property was brought into the County from the Town. Originally 5.57 acres of land zoned I-2 was shifted from the Town to the County. However, this shift automatically rezoned the property from General Industrial I-2 to Conservation (C1) per county code. Though zoned C1 after the shift, the original property zoning is consistent with the Urban Expansion.

The rezoning request will propose a mixture of townhomes, single family residences, and possibly duplexes. In addition to residential housing, the project includes construction of new private roads, sidewalks, trails, utility infrastructure, stormwater management facilities, and recreational amenities.

The subject property is identified in the Comprehensive Plan as a future land use of Urban Expansion as well as the property is located adjacent to the corporate limits of the Town of Christiansburg. The property rezoning would support the Comprehensive Plan for expansion and provide residential housing to the area.

#### Zoning Details:

- Area: Approximately 26.718 acres total
- Address: Houchins Road, Christiansburg, VA
- Parcel ID: 018437 and 018441
- Tax Parcel Numbers: 080-A-44 and 080-A-46
- Magisterial District: Shawsville
- Current Zoning: M1/C1

- Maximum Density: (gross density of 12 townhomes per acre for the area designated as RM-1 Base district and gross density of 5 units per acre for the area designated as R3 Compact Base District) -- See Concept Plan
- Maximum Number of Units: 88 Single Family Attached/Two-Family Dwellings (45 Duplexes) 20 Townhomes
- Future Land Use: Urban Expansion Area
- Flood Zone: Entire property is located outside of the FEMA 100-year flood zone
- Open Space: See Open Space/Landscaping/Buffer Yard Plan

#### **Property History:**

In 2021, the property was purchased by Shah Development, which was owned by the Sawyers Family who own and operate Sawyers Bus Sales.

#### **Existing Property Conditions:**

As can be seen in the following photographs, the existing property is vacant wooded land though zoned M1/C1, it has not been developed. The majority of the site is wooded and is in close proximity to the Town of Christiansburg corporate limits and nearby residential developments, such as Walnut Creek. The property contains rolling topography that can easily accommodate residential development.

The Town of Christiansburg corporate limits makes up the southern property boundary. Undeveloped agricultural lands adjoin the property to the east. Residential homes and farmland are located to the north. Houchins Road along with industrial and residential development form the western property boundary.

#### PHOTOS



Proposed Entrance Facing South on Houchins.



Proposed Entrance Facing North on Houchins.



Internal wooded areas of site.



Internal timber areas previously cut.



Drainage swale near northeast corner of property.



View of entrance to Walnut Creek Subdivision from northwest end of property.

#### Section 2: McClane Heights PUD-RES Narrative

#### **Rezoning Narrative**

McClane Heights consists of a mixed residential development that includes single-family detached homes, two-family dwellings (duplexes), and single family attached (townhomes), as well as roads, sidewalks, trails, recreation areas, and open space to serve the residents of the development.

The requested PUD-RES rezoning will provide the flexibility needed to create a development that contains several different housing types, which will help Montgomery County achieve its long-term goals related to land development and housing. This PUD-RES rezoning includes a concept plan that depicts the location, quantity, and layout of the various elements to be included in McClane Heights.

Voluntary proffers are also included in this rezoning request to mitigate any impacts that are directly related to the development. The proffers are included as an exhibit.

The flexibility provided for in the PUD-RES zoning district allows this type of mixed residential development to occur, which results in a higher-quality neighborhood than could be typically built using traditional zoning districts.

#### <u>Utilities</u>

Public water and sewer are available to serve the property and there are capacity and connections available to serve the development. Each residential lot will be connected to the public water and sewer system. The water and sewer systems will be designed and built to Montgomery County PSA standards. The property owner will be responsible for all costs associated with the design and construction of the water and sewer infrastructure.

The Montgomery County Public Service Authority has verified that the existing water and sewer system has the capacity and connections for the development. A copy of the PSA letter has been included as an exhibit.

#### Section 4: McClane Heights Concept Plan Details

#### **Concept Plan**

Two concept plans have been provided for the McClane Heights PUD-RES illustrating the alternative development of the R3 Compact base zoning district area. Once approved by the Montgomery County Board of Supervisors, McClane Heights will be developed in general conformance with the Concept Plan and this narrative.

#### Land Uses and Land Development Standards

McClane Heights will include a variety of housing types and two base zoning districts, as follows:

Lot Type/ Use	<b>Base Zoning District</b>
Duplex/ Single-family Lots	R-3 Compact
Townhome Lots	RM-1

Specific modifications to the base zoning districts are included in this narrative.

#### **Trash Collection**

Each single-family home, duplex dwelling, and townhome will have trash cans for weekly roadside collection.

#### Parking

Overall, there will be a minimum of 2 parking spaces per duplex, single-family home, and townhome in McClane Heights.

#### **Open Space**

Open space for the project will include various sidewalk/trail options, landscaped areas, stormwater management facilities, active open space, active recreation space, and other undeveloped property. Outdoor open space that connects people with nature and natural systems is important for mental and physical health reasons, especially for children. Many studies have shown how interacting with the natural environment positively impacts depression, anxiety, ADHD, low self-esteem, and other physical illnesses and mental health disorders in children and adults.

The entrance into the property will include landscaped areas with an attractive monument sign. This beautified entrance will help not only provide a sense of place for the development, but also enhance the experience of driving down Houchins Road. The areas designated as Duplex/Single-Family Homes depicted on the Concept Plan shall be based on the R-3 Compact District, with the following modifications:

#### Sec. 10-26. - R-3 Residential District: Compact

(1) Purpose. The R-3 Residential District is intended to accommodate moderate density suburban residential uses to be served by public water and sewer facilities. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district, to promote and encourage, insofar as compatible with the intensity of land use, a suitable environment for family life, and to permit certain compatible commercial uses of a character unlikely to develop general concentration of traffic, crowds of customers and general outdoor advertising.

To these ends, retail activity is sharply limited and this district is protected against encroachment of general commercial or industrial uses. Although this district is basically residential in character, certain compatible public and semipublic uses are permitted in the district.

- (2) Qualifying lands. Lands qualifying for inclusion in the R-3 zoning district shall be R-3 Residential on the date of adoption of this chapter and other lands within areas mapped as residential transition, village, village expansion, urban development area, or urban expansion in the comprehensive plan. The minimum area required to create a district shall be two (2) acres of total contiguous land.
- (3) Uses permitted by right. All uses as identified in Sec. 10-35 PUD-RES, subject to compliance with all approved plans and permits, development standards and performance standards contained in this chapter, and with all other applicable regulations:
- (4) Lot requirements.
  - (a) *Minimum lot area* (also refer to "lot coverage"). Five thousand (5,000) square feet.
  - (b) Lot access. Lots shall be accessed from a private street internal to the development.
  - (c) *Maximum lot coverage.* Lot coverage shall not exceed thirty (30) percent of gross site area. Impervious surfaces shall not exceed fifty (50) percent of gross site area.
  - (d) *Minimum width.* Fifty (50) feet at the setback line of front yard.
  - (e) Maximum length/width ratio. Five to one (5:1) for any lot less than two (2) acres.

- (5) Building requirements.
  - (a) Minimum yards.
    - 1. Front. Ten (10) feet.
    - 2. *Side.* Five (5) feet for each principal structure. For two family structures, the common or party line setback is Zero (0) feet, as the two structures are attached along one wall.
    - 3. Rear. Twenty-five (25) feet.
    - 4. *Accessory buildings.* Ten (10) feet to any side or rear lot line.
    - 5. *Yard lighting.* Each single-family home lot shall have a low level, eight (8) feet maximum height front yard for security purposes. There shall be no street lighting.
  - (b) *Maximum building height.* Up to thirty-five (35) feet in height from grade, except that:
    - The height limit for dwellings may be increased up to ten (10) feet, provided that there are two (2) side yards for each permitted use, each of which is fifteen (15) feet or more, plus one (1) foot or more of side yard for each additional foot of building height over thirty-five (35) feet.
    - 2. A public or semipublic building such as a school, church, library or hospital may be erected to a height of sixty (60) feet from grade, provided that required front, side and rear yards shall be increased one (1) foot for each foot in height over thirty-five (35) feet.
    - 3. No accessory building which is within ten (10) feet of any party lot line shall be more than one (1) story high. All accessory buildings shall be less than the main building in height.
- (6) Use limitations.
  - (a) *Public water and wastewater service.* Public water and wastewater services are required for all development in any R-3 district established after the date of adoption of this chapter.
  - (b) Keeping and raising horses and ponies. Shall not be permitted in the R-3 Compact District.
    - 1. Horses and ponies may only be kept for personal enjoyment and not for commercial purposes;
    - 2. A minimum of five (5) acres of open or forestal land is available for the horses and ponies; and
    - 3. No more than two (2) horses and ponies collectively (being one (1) horse and one (1) pony, two (2) horses, or two (2) ponies) shall be permitted per each five (5) acres with a maximum of four (4) horses and ponies collectively for parcels of land of ten (10) acres or more.
- (7) *Compact development option.* The purpose of the compact development option is to provide flexibility in site design in order to encourage:
  - Natural resource preservation.

- Pedestrian-friendly streetscapes.
- Cost-efficiency in providing infrastructure.
- Appropriate design solutions for unique site conditions.
- Transit supportive design.

#### (8) Streets.

- 1. Public streets. New streets as part of the compact development option shall be public and designed and constructed in accordance with the minimum standards of the Virginia Department of Transportation, except that the surface pavement layer shall be asphalt concrete.
- 2. Private streets. The board of supervisors may permit construction of private streets so long as such streets are not likely to inhibit future development of adjacent land consistent with the standards set forth in Section 8-152.
- 3. Street patterns shall form a broadly rectilinear network, with variations as needed for topographic, environmental and other design considerations, in accordance with the minimum standards required by VDOT.
- 4. Streets shall be designed to:
  - a. Parallel and preserve existing fence lines, tree lines, hedgerows, stone walls and watercourses; and
  - b. Minimize alteration of natural, cultural or historic site features; and
  - c. Promote pedestrian movement.
- 5. All streets shall be designed to promote pedestrian circulation. Pedestrian circulation shall be designed with respect to topography, integration with surrounding streets, connection to existing or future pedestrian ways and transit stops, interior circulation and the separation of pedestrians from vehicles. Sidewalks, informal walkways and footpaths shall be no less than five (5) feet wide. Paths in open space shall be constructed using mulch or stone. The compact development option shall create a completely linked neighborhood of walkways connecting all uses with parks and other open space areas.
- (9) Maximum density. The density of development under the compact development option shall be no greater than five (5) dwelling units per gross acre.

The areas designated as <del>Duplexes</del>, Townhomes, <del>and Multifamily (apartments)</del> on the Concept Plan shall be based on the RM-1 District, with the following modifications:

#### Sec. 10-27. - RM-1 Multiple-Family Residential District.

- (1) Purpose. The regulations set forth in this section, or set forth elsewhere in this chapter when referred to in this section, are the regulations in the Multiple-Family Residential, RM-1 district. This district provides for multiple-family residences and is for the purpose of accommodating the construction of townhouse developments and garden-type apartments in appropriate locations in order to provide convenient and compact residential neighborhoods, efficient provision of public facilities and services, and a range of housing prices, including moderate[ly] priced housing.
- (2) Qualifying lands. Lands qualifying for inclusion in the RM-1 zoning district shall be RM-1 Residential on the date of adoption of this chapter and other lands within areas mapped as urban expansion, village, village expansion, or urban development area in the comprehensive plan. The minimum area required to create a district is one (1) acre of total contiguous land.
- (3) Uses permitted by right. All uses as identified in Sec. 10-35 PUD RES, subject to compliance with all approved plans and permits, development standards and performance standards contained in this chapter, and with all other applicable regulations:
- (4) Lot requirements.
  - (a) Minimum lot area.
    - 1. *For homes:* Five thousand (5,000) square feet minimum lot area. Fifty (50) feet minimum lot width.
    - 2. *For single-family attached (townhouse) units:* Two thousand (2,000) square feet minimum lot area. Sixteen (16) feet minimum lot width.
    - 3. For all other uses: Six thousand (6,000) square feet minimum lot area.
  - (b) Lot access. Lots shall be accessed from a road in the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) system or from a hard-surfaced road designed by a professional engineer to accommodate projected volumes, loads and vehicle types and approved by the zoning administrator.
  - (c) Maximum lot coverage.
    - 1. Forty (40) percent of gross site area.
    - Gross development density of single-family attached units shall not exceed twelve (12) dwellings per acre;
    - 3. Gross development density of multifamily units shall not exceed twelve (12) dwellings per acre;
    - 4. Impervious surfaces shall not exceed eighty (80) percent of the gross site area.
  - (d) *Minimum width.* Sixty-four (64) feet at the setback line of the front yard for multifamily structures; sixteen (16) feet for townhouse units.

- (5) Building requirements.
  - (a) Minimum yards.
    - 1. Front. Twenty (20) feet.
    - 2. Side. Twenty (20) feet.
      - a. Between townhouse groups: Ten (10) feet.
      - b. Between end townhouse unit and lot line: Twenty (20) feet.
      - c. Between multifamily structures: Fifteen (15) feet.
      - d. Between multifamily structures and the lot line: Twenty-five (25) feet.

All required side yard dimensions in between townhouse groups and between multifamily structures set forth above are the minimum dimensions that must be clear of other structures such as air handling units and storage units, so as to allow passage of emergency vehicles.

- 3. *Rear.* Twenty-five (25) feet.
- 4. Accessory buildings. Ten (10) feet to any side or rear lot line.
- (b) *Maximum building height*. Thirty-five (35) feet in height from grade, except that:
  - The height limit for dwellings may be increased up to ten (10) feet, provided that there are two (2) side yards for each permitted use, each of which is fifteen (15) feet or more, plus one (1) foot or more of side yard for each additional foot of building height over thirty-five (35) feet.
  - 2. A public or semipublic building such as a school, church, library or hospital may be erected to a height of sixty (60) feet from grade, provided that required front, side and rear yards shall be increased one (1) foot for each foot in height over thirty-five (35) feet.
  - 3. No accessory building which is within ten (10) feet of any party lot line shall be more than one (1) story high. All accessory buildings shall be less than the main building in height.
- (c) *Groups of single-family attached dwellings (townhouses).* No more than eight (8) and not less than three (3) single-family attached dwellings (townhouses) shall be included in one (1) connected group.
- (6) Use limitations.
  - (a) *Public water and wastewater service.* Public water and wastewater services are required for all development in the RM-1 district.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: VOLUNTARY PROFFERS

## McClane Heights PUD-RES PROFFER STATEMENT

#### November 1, 2023 Revised: December 21, 2023

Proffer Statement for the Rezoning Application for Tax Parcels 080-A 44 and 080-A-46 (the "Property") from Industrial Manufacturing (M-1)/Conservation (C-1) to Planned Unit Development - Residential (PUD-RES).

Pursuant to Section 10-54(1) of the Montgomery County Zoning Ordinance, the Owner hereby voluntarily proffers that the Property which is the subject of this Rezoning Application will be developed in accordance with the following conditions, if and only if, approval is granted, and the property is rezoned as requested. This Proffer Statement shall replace in its entirety any Proffer Statement previously approved for the Property. The Applicant, the Owners, their Successors and Assigns, voluntary proffer the following conditions for the Property as follows:

#### 1. Conceptual Layout

The Property shall be developed in general conformance with one of or a mixture of the submitted Concept Plans prepared by Foresight Design Services, dated November 1, 2023 and revised December 21, 2023 (the "Concept Plan").

2. <u>Utilities</u>

The Property shall be served by Town of Christiansburg Public Water and Montgomery County Public Service Authority sanitary sewer.

3. Property Management

A property management company and/or homeowner's association shall maintain all community owned grounds, including but not limited to landscaped areas, recreational areas, parking and paved areas, sidewalks, and stormwater management areas.

4. Bus Shelter

Bus shelter shall be a minimum of 5' X 10' in size, constructed of durable, architecturally sound materials that will withstand continual exposure to the elements along Houchins Road. Specific locations, style, and size to be determined in conjunction with the final site plan and coordinated with Montgomery County Public School staff. Coordination with VDOT will be required to provide suitable bus access to the shelter.

5. Exterior Lighting

Each single-family detached home lot and each dwelling unit of a two family dwelling shall have a low level, eight (8) feet maximum height front yard light for security purposes. There shall be no street lighting in front of single family and two family homes. End units of townhouse groupings shall have a low level, eight (8) feet maximum height yard light. There shall be no street lighting in front of townhouses.

-Signature Page to Follow-

I (we) hereby proffer that the development of the subject property of this application shall be in strict accordance with the conditions set forth in this submission.

SHAH Development, LLC

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Name: David Hagan its:\_\_\_\_\_

#### Commonwealth of Virginia County of Montgomery

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public (Seal)

My commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

APPENDIX B: EXISTING CONDITIONS

			3	
	PROJECT LOCATION:	SHAH DEVELOPMENT LLC P.O. BOX 1499 CHRISTIANSBURG, VA. 24068 UNADDRESSED PARCEL CHRISTIANSBURG, VA. 24068		
2.	TAX PARCEL NUMBER: * ALL WORK PROPOSE FASEMENTS OBTAINED	80-(A)-46; $500-(A)-13AID IN THESE PLANS IS TO BE DONE WITHIN THE ABOVE LISTED TAX PARCEL,OR DIBLIC PICHTS_OF_WAY$		
3.	ZONING DISTRICT:	EXISTING C-1 / M-1 PROPOSED PUD-RES BASE ZONING DISTRICTS: RM-1 - 2.48 ACRES R-3 COMPACT - 24.11 ACRES		
4.	SETBACKS: EXISTING RM-1 BASE	DISTRICT:	1-1-1	
	PROPOSED PUD-RES	REAR= 30' SIDE= 25' (15' BETWEEN TOWNHOUSE GROUPS) (RM-1 BASE DISTRICT): FRONT= 20' REAR= 25'		
	EXISTING R-3 COMPA	SIDE= 20' (10' BETWEEN TOWNHOUSE GROUPS) CT BASE DISTRICT: FRONT= 10'		
	PROPOSED PUD-RES	REAR= 25' SIDE= 10' MINIMUM LOT WIDTH: 80' (REDUCED TO 60' WITH CURB AND GUTTER) (R-3 COMPACT BASE DISTRICT): FRONT= 10' REAR= 25'		
		SIDE= 5' (O' FOR DUPLEX LOTS ON THE COMMON LOT LINE) MINIMUM LOT WDTH: 50' WITH CURB AND GUTTER		
5.	STANDARDS FROM BO SHALL BE DEVELOPED	TH BASE ZONING DISTRICTS FOR COMMON GREEN SPACE, ACTIVE RECREATION SPACE AS COHESIVE PLAN FOR THE COMMUNITY AS A WHOLE. TAX PARCEL NO. 80–(A)–46: P.L.D. #018437: P.B. 24 PG. 5		
э. 5.	THIS TOPOGRAPHIC SU	TAX PARCEL NO. 500-(8)-13A; P.I.D. #010437, P.B. 24 PG. 200 JRVEY WAS COMPLETED UNDER THE DIRECT AND RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OF RALPH O		TAX PARCEL 500-
	CLEMENTS, L.S. LIC. # PHOTOGRAMMETRIC M/ VIRGINIA SURVEYOR P OBTAINED DURING JUN GEOSPATIAL DATA US	1864, FROM AN ACTUAL GROUND SURVEY MADE UNDER MY SUPERVISION AND FROM APPING PREPARED UNDER DIRECT AND RESPONSIBLE CHARGE OF JOSEPH M. KOVACH HOTOGRAMMETRIST LIC. NO. 56. THE IMAGERY AND ORIGINAL FIELD DATA WAS IE 2022 AND APRIL 2022 RESPECTIVELY; AND THAT THIS PLAT, MAP OR DIGITAL ING METADATA MEETS MINIMUM ACCURACY STANDARDS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.		PARCEL ID#1203 TOWN OF CHRISTIAN (CHRISTIANSBURG INDUSTRIAL (STORMWATER MANAGEN PLAT IN INST. 2005
<b>.</b> 00-	THE SUBJECT PROPER F.I.R.M. MAP NO. 5112 BY GRAPHIC METHODS	TY LIES IN "ZONE 'X' – OTHER AREAS", AS DEFINED BY F.E.M.A. & AS SHOWN ON $(100165C, EFFECTIVE DATE OF 09/25/2009)$ . THIS DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE ONLY. NO ELEVATION STUDY HAS BEEN PERFORMED AS A PART OF THIS PROJECT		P.B. 23 PG. 42
080 . 2 PRI9	0301	PARCEL 10#230249 PARCEL 1	in the former of the second se	Station and the second
T IN 12	NST. 2017001829	N/F SHAH DEVELOPMENT, LLC BYINST. 2021001865; C YYINST. 2017001829		
		SIGN 8"W SIGN R/W R/W 8"W SIGN 8"W	R/W-TITE	₩.P.
			dSA	
	TOW	Regult .		
ARE I	TOWN OF CHRISTIANSBURG	NOTOCITIENT AND		TAX PARCEL NO. 80–(A)–46 P.I.D. #018437 SHAH DEVELOPMENT, LLO INST. NO. 2021011318 (PARCEL II) P.B. 24 PG. 5 ZONING: M1



APPENDIX C: CONCEPT PLANS



fds-files-2023/Production/Drawings\3246\ENGINEERING\Design\Plans\Sheets\3246\_Sht\_Preliminar Coning Map 12/20/2003 4:40:30 PM\_Scaldwell\_AutoCAD PDF (General Documentation) pp3 1-1





APPENDIX D: UTILITIES AND SWM PLAN



tds-files-2023\Production\Drawings\3246\ENGINEERING\Design\Plans\Sheets\3246\_Sht\_Prelimina النائلاب Plan\_12/20/2023 4:50:26 PM\_Scaldwell\_4 بانانCAD PDF (General Documentation) no3\_1-1

APPENDIX E: LANDSCAPE AND BUFFER PLAN



ds-files-2023\Production\Drawings\3246\ENGINEERING\Design\Plans\Sheets\3246\_Sht\_Prelimi andsacne Plan 12/20/2033 4:50:42 PM\_Scaldwell\_AutoCAD PDF (General Documentation) hc3

# \*\* SPECIFIC DESIGNED AMENITIES WITHIN THE RECREATIONAL AREA TO BE





**PROPOSED PUD-RES** COMMON SPACE : 8.97 ACRES COMMON GREEN SPACE EASEMENT: 16,575 SF ACTIVE RECREATION: 21,575 SF (INCLUDES BUS SHELTER, TRAILS, & RECREATION AMENITY AREA)



TAX PARCEL NO.

80-(A)-47

P.I.D. #002683 ROBERT MORRIS RAKES TRACT 1 WILL INST. 2021001024 D.B. 855 PG. 802; D.B. 449 PG. 173 ZONING: A1

NORTH

AX PARCEL NO. 80-(A)-4

P.I.D. #002682

F ROBERT MORRIS RAKE

TRACT 2

WILL INST. 2021001024

D.B. 855 PG. 802;

D.B. 449 PG. 173 ZONING: A
## McClane Heights

APPENDIX F: BUILDING ELEVATIONS



— RIDGE VENTS (CONT. - TYP.) —



## SHAH DEVELOPMENT, LLC

**180 TEEL STREET CHRISTIANSBURG, VA 24073** (540) 260-9939 mneeble@shelor.com

## MICHAEL W. NEEBLE, AIA

roject No.	22-019b
ate	08/09/2022
esign	SHAH
rawing	MWN
heck	MWN
ile	22-019b - A201-A204 . DWG
_	





Revisions

## McClane Heights

APPENDIX G: PSA SEWER AVAILABILITY LETTER



### MONTGOMERY COUNTY PUBLIC SERVICE AUTHORITY

Government Center Suite 2I 755 Roanoke Street Christiansburg, VA 24073-3185

March 21, 2023

M. Todd King, Chairman Sherri Blevins, Vice-Chair Mary W. Biggs, Secretary-Treasurer Sara R. Bohn, Member April N. DeMotts, Member Darrell O. Sheppard, Member Steve R. Fijalkowski, Member

Charles E. Campbell PSA Director

John Neel Gay and Neel, Inc 1260 Radford Street Christiansburg, Virginia 24073

> RE: Availability Letter Residential Subdivision Houchens Road Parcel ID 018441,018437,014196 Sewer

Dear Mr. Neel:

Public sanitary sewer can be made available to this proposed residential development along Houchens Road, Parcel ID 018441,018437,014196.

Sanitary sewer service may be provided by a public sewer extension to a point adjacent to all units of this proposed development from the public sewer main located in the Walnut Creek Subdivision. You must verify that there is a minimum of two feet of fall from the building service elevation to the top of the sewer main. If adequate vertical separation does not exist, you will be required to install a public sewer pump station and force main per PSA standards. The owner would be required to obtain public easements for all portions of the sewer line extension in private property. The sewer facility fee is \$3,000.00 per each residential unit. There is also a \$750.00 pump station fee for each unit.

The sewer facilities must be designed to PSA standards by an engineer and approved by the PSA prior to construction. The owner would be required to obtain public easements for all portions of the sewer line extension in private property. The owner would be responsible for the cost of the sewer line extensions, public easements, highway permits, and any other associated requirements. These designs should be incorporated into the site development plans for this development and submitted to the PSA for review. Payment of twenty-five percent of the water sewer facility fees for all units of the development would be required prior to approval of the site plan.

Please be advised that all PSA sewer systems have a fixed number of available connections. Connections are reserved by payment of facility and connection fees, provided service is currently available to the subject property.

> ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES: (540) 381-1997 BILLING & COLLECTIONS: (540) 382-6930 FAX NO. (540) 382-5703

Also, be advised that this development must also meet all Montgomery County Planning and Zoning Department requirements. The availability of sanitary sewer facilities does not by itself authorize the development of this property.

#### This letter and stated fees are only valid to April 1, 2024.

If you have questions or need additional clarification on the above information, please contact me at 381-1997.

Sincerely,

railes E. Cphell

Charles E. Campbell PSA Director

cc: Montgomery Co. Planning Dept.

## McClane Heights

APPENDIX H: TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

## **Traffic Impact Analysis**

## **Houchins Road Townhomes**

Montgomery County, Virginia

March 2023

Prepared for:

Foresight Design Services 1260 Radford Street Christiansburg, VA 24073



Prepared by:



4114 Legato Road	225 Reinekers Lane	1140 Connecticut Ave NW	4951 Lake Brook Drive
Suite 650	Suite 750	Suite 600	Suite 250
Fairfax, VA 22033	Alexandria, VA 22314	Washington, DC 20036	Glen Allen, VA 23060
T 703.787.9595	T 703.721.3044	T 202.296.8625	T 804.362.0578

#### www.goroveslade.com

This document, together with the concepts and designs presented herein, as an instrument of services, is intended for the specific purpose and client for which it was prepared. Reuse of and improper reliance on this document without written authorization by Gorove/Slade Associates, Inc., shall be without liability to Gorove/Slade Associates, Inc.

4951 Lake Brook Drive / Suite 250 / Glen Allen, VA 23060 / T 804.362.0578

### TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

To:	Jesse	Miller,	P.E.

Cc: Brea Hopkins

John Neel, P.E.

Michael Bailey, P.E., RSP1 From:

March 2023 Date:

Subject: Houchins Road Townhomes - Montgomery County, VA

## of Houchins Road. The proposed access plan includes two full-movement driveways on Houchins Road. The site is expected to be built-out by 2027.

This Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) was developed in accordance with Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT), Montgomery County TIA guidelines, and our TIA scope meeting. A copy of the TIA scoping document is included in the Appendix.

Shah Development is proposing to construct a townhome neighborhood with 171 single-family attached homes on the east side

VDOT

Montgomery County

### Scope of the Analysis

Introduction

The objective of this analysis is to identify potential impacts to the transportation network due to the proposed convenience store. Based on the TIA scoping meeting, the following scenarios were analyzed:

- Existing (2023) Conditions
- No-Build (2027) Conditions
- Build (2027) Conditions

The weekday AM and PM peak hours were studied for the following intersections:

- Roanoke Street (U.S. 11 / U.S. 460 BUS) at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive
- Houchins Road at South Site Driveway
- Houchins Road at Crosscreek Drive / North Site Driveway

Figure 1 shows the site location and study intersections and the site plan is shown in Figure 2.





#### GOROVE **SLADE** Transportation Planners and Engineers



Figure 1: Site Location and Study Intersections



Figure 2: Preliminary Site Plan (Prepared by Gress Engineering for informational purposes only)

## **Existing Conditions**

#### Existing Roadway Network

A description of the major roadways within the study area is shown in Table 1 and the existing lane configuration is shown in Figure 3.

#### Table 1: Existing Roadway Network

Roadway	RTE #	VDOT Classification	Legal/Design Speed Limit (mph)	AADT* (vpd)
Roanoke Street	U.S. 11 / U.S. 460 BUS	Principal Arterial	35 mph	15,000
Houchins Road	758	Local Roadway	25 mph	860
Bristol Drive	n/a	Local Roadway	25 mph	n/a
Crosscreek Drive	n/a	Local Roadway	25 mph	760
* VDOT 2021 ADT Traffic Data				



Figure 3: Existing Lane Configuration

#### Existing (2023) Traffic Volumes

The weekday AM peak hour (7:00 to 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 to 6:00 PM) turning movement counts were conducted by Burns Services Inc. during the week of November 28<sup>th</sup> at the following intersections:

- Roanoke Street (U.S. 11 / U.S. 460 BUS) at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive
- Houchins Road at Crosscreek Drive / North Site Driveway

Through movements were increased to balance traffic volumes between intersections. The peak hour traffic volumes are shown in Figure 4 and the count data is included in the Appendix.



Figure 4: Existing (2023) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

### **No-Build Conditions**

#### **Background Improvements**

Based on the scoping meeting, no background improvements were included in this analysis.

#### **Background Developments**

Based on the scoping meeting, one approved development was identified within the vicinity of the site to be included in this analysis. The existing Walnut Creek neighborhood, located along Crosscreek Drive, is approved for the development of an additional 22 single-family detached homes and 145 single-family attached units. The anticipated development traffic is included under No-Build (2027) conditions, and the trip generation is shown in Table 2. Figure 5 shows the anticipated site trip volumes, which were based on the following regional distribution:

- 75% to / from the west on Roanoke Street
- 25% to / from the east on Roanoke Street

#### Table 2: ITE Trip Generation – Walnut Creek Residential – Typical Weekday – 11th Edition

Land Use	ITE Code	Size Units	Al	M Peak H	our	PA	1 Peak Ho	Weekday	
	Oode		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	22 d.u.	5	14	19	15	9	24	252
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	145 d.u.	17	53	70	49	34	83	1,054
Total Site Trips			22	67	89	64	43	107	1,306



Figure 5: Walnut Creek Site Trips

#### **Regional Traffic Growth**

Future traffic volumes were estimated by increasing the existing traffic volumes to the build-out year using an annual growth rate and adding the trips generated by the background development. The no-build peak hour traffic volumes were estimated by applying an annual background growth rate of 1.0% for four years. Figure 6 shows the No-Build (2027) peak hour traffic volumes.



Figure 6: No-Build (2027) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## **Build Conditions**

#### Site Generated Trips

Table 3 shows the trip potential of the proposed store based on the 11<sup>th</sup> Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) <u>Trip Generation Manual</u>.

Table 5. The Trip Generation -	- Houchins	Road Townho	mes –	rypical	weekuay	Weekd	ay		
Land Use	ITE Code	Size Units	AI	M Peak Ho	bur	PA	Weekday		
	Oode		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	171 d.u.	21	62	83	58	41	99	1,254

#### Table 3: ITE Trip Generation – Houchins Road Townhomes – Typical Weekday – 11<sup>th</sup> Edition

#### Primary Site Trip Distribution

The site trip distribution was based on existing traffic patterns. The following regional distribution was applied to the site trips:

- 75% to / from the west on Roanoke Street
- 25% to / from the east on Roanoke Street

Figure 7 shows the regional site trip distribution and Figure 8 site trip assignment.



Figure 7: Primary Site Trip Distribution



Figure 8: Primary Site Trip Assignment

#### Build (2027) Traffic Volumes

The build traffic volumes were estimated by adding the no-build traffic volumes (Figure 6) and the site trip assignment (Figure 8). Figure 9 shows the Build (2027) peak hour traffic volumes.



Figure 9: Build (2027) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

The need for turn lanes was evaluated at the site driveways under Build (2027) conditions. The results of the turn lane warrant analysis are summarized in Table 4.

Study Scenario	Approach Volume	Right Turn Volume	Minimum Right Turn Taper Threshold	Minimum Right Turn Full Lane Threshold	Treatment
INT 2 - NBR - Build 2027 AM Peak	59	16	64	110	Not Warranted
INT 2 - NBR - Build 2027 PM Peak	190	44	51	93	Not Warranted
INT 3 - NBR - Build 2027 AM Peak	43	5	66	112	Not Warranted
INT 3 - NBR - Build 2027 PM Peak	146	14	55	99	Not Warranted

#### Table 4: Right-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis (2-Lane)

Based on the turn lane warrant analysis, no turn lanes are warranted at the proposed site driveways.

### **Capacity Analysis**

Capacity analysis was performed at the study intersections during the weekday AM and PM peak hours under all analysis scenarios. Synchro, Version 11 was used to analyze the study intersections based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM methodology and includes level of service (LOS), delay, and queue lengths for the turning movements analyzed. SimTraffic queues were based on the maximum of an average of 10 microsimulation runs. The queueing analysis results are summarized in the tables below and the Synchro / SimTraffic output reports are included in the appendix. A future peak hour factor (PHF) of 0.92 was used only if the existing PHF was less than 0.92, otherwise the existing PHF was used.

For unsignalized intersections, the average delays for the minor street movements are described as short delays (less than 25 seconds), moderate delays (between 25 and 50 seconds), and long delays (greater than 50 seconds). It is common for side street movements to experience long delays during the peak hours at intersections with major thoroughfares.

Table 5 shows the LOS, average delays, and queue lengths for the signalized intersection of Roanoke Street (U.S. 11 / U.S. 460 BUS) at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive.

				AM	Peak Hour					
Scenario	Intersection (Movement)	Effective Storage Length (ft.) <sup>[1]</sup>	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	95th % Queue (ft.)	Ave. Max Queue (ft.) <sup>[2]</sup>	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	95th % Queue (ft.)	Ave. Max Queue (ft.) [2]
				Synchro		SimTraffic		Synchro		SimTraffic
	Roanoke Street (U.S. 11) [E/W] at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive [N/S] Overall Intersection (TWSC)									
	Eastbound Approach Eastbound U/Left Eastbound Thru/Right		А	9.2	3	88	А	9.8	8	96
(2023) Conditions	Westbound Approach Westbound U/Left Westbound Thru	125	A	9.8	0	28	В	10.9	0	23
	Northbound Right Northbound Left/Thru/Right		<b>с</b> С	<b>21.2</b> 21.2	3	32	с С	<b>17.3</b>	8	41
	Southbound Approach Southbound Left	75	C D	<b>18.2</b> 31.0	18	58	D F	<b>32.1</b> 63.8	40	54
	Roanoke Street (U.S. 11) [E/W] at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive [N/S]		В	10.8	8	/1	В	11.2	8	47
No-Build	Eastbound U/Left Eastbound Thru/Right		A	9.4	5	119	В	10.2	13	118
(2027) Conditions	Westbound Approach Westbound U/Left Westbound Thru Westbound Bight	125	A	10	0	24	В	10.9	0	27
	Northbound Approach		D	25.5			с	19.9		
	Northbound Left/Thru/Right		D	25.5	3	2	C	19.9	8	41
	Southbound Approach		С	21.6			F	50.4		
	Southbound Left	75	E	43.3	38	30	F	122.8	75	70
	Southbound Right		В	11.5	15	63	В	11.6	13	128
	Roanoke Street (U.S. 11) [E/W] at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive [N/S] Overall Intersection (TWSC)									
Build	Eastbound Approach Eastbound U/Left Eastbound Thru/Right		А	9.5	8	152	В	10.6	20	128
(2027) Conditions	Westbound Approach Westbound U/Left Westbound Thru Westbound Right	125	A	10	0	25	В	10.9	0	20
	Northbound Approach		D	29.2			С	24.1		
	Northbound Left/Thru/Right		D	29.2	3	34	С	24.1	10	2
	Southbound Approach		D	25.5			F	98.6		
	Southbound Left	75	F	57	60	74	F	279.6	128	7
			В	12.2	∠5	1/2	В	12.1	18	4/

Table 5: Level-of-Service Summary for Roanoke Street at Houchins Road / Bristol Drive

Capacity analysis shows that the minor street left-turn movement currently operates with moderate delays during the AM peak hour and with long delays during the PM peak hour. Under no-build conditions, the minor street left-turn movement is expected to continue operating with moderate delays during the AM peak hour and with long delays during the PM peak hour. Under build conditions, the minor street left-turn movement is expected to operate with long delays during the AM and PM peak hours. It is common for side street movements and left turns to experience long delays during the peak hours at intersections with major thoroughfares. No improvements are warranted or recommended at this intersection upon build-out of the proposed site.

Table 6 shows the LOS, average delays, and queue lengths for the unsignalized intersection of Houchins Road at the proposed South Site Driveway.

			AMI	Peak Hour					
		LOS	Delay	95th %	Ave. Max	LOS	Delay	95th %	Ave. Max
Scenario	Intersection (Movement)		(sec/veh)	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.) [2]		(sec/veh)	Queue (ft.)	Queue (ft.) [2]
			Synchro		SimTraffic		Synchro		SimTraffic
	Houchins Road [N/S] at South								
	Site Driveway [E/W]								
	Overall Intersection (TWSC)								
Build	Westbound Approach	В	10.1			В	10.4		
(2027)	Westbound Left/Right	В	10.1	5	56	В	10.4	5	57
Conditions	Northbound Approach								
	Northbound Thru/Right								
	Southbound Approach								
	Southbound Left/Thru								

Capacity analysis shows that under build conditions, the minor street left-turn movement is expected to operate with short delays and queue lengths of three vehicles or less during the AM and PM peak hours. No improvements are warranted or recommended at the build-out of the proposed site.

Table 7 shows the LOS, average delays, and queue lengths for the unsignalized intersection of Houchins Road at Crosscreek Drive / North Site Driveway.

#### Table 7: Level-of-Service Summary for Houchins Road at Crosscreek Drive / North Site Driveway

			AM	Peak Hour					
Scenario	Intersection (Movement)	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	95th % Queue (ft.)	Ave. Max Queue (ft.) <sup>[2]</sup>	LOS	Delay (sec/veh)	95th % Queue (ft.)	Ave. Max Queue (ft.) <sup>[2]</sup>
			Synchro		SimTraffic		Synchro		SimTraffic
	Houchins Road [N/S] at Crosscreek								
	Drive [E/W]								
	Overall Intersection (TWSC)								
Existing	Eastbound Approach								
(2023)	Eastbound Left/Right	A	8.7	8	47	A	8.5	3	31
Conditions	Northbound Approach								
	Northbound Left/Thru	A	7.2	0	0	A	7.3	3	0
	Southbound Approach								
	Southbound Thru/Right								
	Houchins Road [N/S] at Crosscreek								
	Drive [E/W]								
	Overall Intersection (TWSC)								
No-Build	Eastbound Approach								
(2027)	Eastbound Left/Right	A	8.9	13	51	A	8.6	8	47
Conditions	Northbound Approach								
	Northbound Left/Thru	A	7.3	3	0	A	7.4	8	3
	Southbound Approach								
	Southbound Thru/Right								
	Houchins Road [N/S] at Crosscreek Drive / North Site Driveway [E/W]								
	Overall Intersection (TWSC)								
	Eastbound Approach	Α	8.9			А	8.6		
Build	Eastbound Left/Thru/Right	А	8.9	13	70	А	8.6	8	53
(2027)	Westbound Approach	В	10.5			В	12.0		
Conditions	Westbound Left/Thru/Right	В	10.5	3	29	В	12.0	3	33
	Northbound Approach								
	Northbound Left/Thru/Right	A	7.3	3	0	A	7.4	8	6
	Southbound Approach								
	Southbound Left/Thru/Right								

Capacity analysis shows that the minor approach currently operates with short delays and queue lengths of two vehicles or less during the AM and PM peak hours. Under both no-build and build conditions, the minor approaches are expected to continue operating with short delays and queue lengths of three vehicles or less during the AM and PM peak hours. No improvements are warranted or recommended at the build-out of the proposed site.

### Summary and Conclusions

Based on the results of the analysis, all intersections are projected to operate with acceptable delay and queueing upon completion of the proposed townhome neighborhood. No improvements are warranted or recommended at build-out of this site. Figure 10 shows the recommended lane configuration.



Figure 10: Recommended Lane Configuration

# **TECHNICAL APPENDIX**

**TECHNICAL APPENDIX** 

Table of Contents

APPENDIX A

**Turning Movement Count Sheets** 

APPENDIX B Level of Service Definitions

APPENDIX C Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Existing Conditions (2023)

APPENDIX D Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – No-Build Conditions (2027)

APPENDIX E Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Build Conditions (2027)

APPENDIX F Turn Lane Warrant Assessment

APPENDIX G VDOT Pre-Scope Form

## APPENDIX A

**Turning Movement Count Sheets** 



	Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks																								
		H	ouchi	ins Ro	bad				US	S-11				Bi	ristol [	Drive	SE		US-11						
			South	nboun	d			Westbound					Northbound				Eastbound								
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTm	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	14	0	7	0	0	21	5	134	2	0	0	141	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	81	3	0	0	85	247
07:15 AM	16	0	14	0	0	30	7	172	0	0	0	179	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	86	8	0	0	95	305
07:30 AM	18	0	13	0	0	31	4	183	1	0	0	188	0	0	3	0	0	3	5	84	3	0	0	92	314
07:45 AM	17	0	6	0	0	23	5	185	5	0	0	195	1	1	2	0	0	4	4	98	9	0	0	111	333
Total	65	0	40	0	0	105	21	674	8	0	0	703	2	1	5	0	0	8	11	349	23	0	0	383	1199
08:00 AM	10	0	11	0	0	21	4	152	0	0	0	156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	9	1	0	132	309
08:15 AM	15	0	11	0	0	26	7	148	1	1	0	157	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	149	12	0	0	161	345
08:30 AM	13	0	5	0	0	18	6	167	2	1	0	176	2	0	2	0	0	4	2	144	8	0	0	154	352
08:45 AM	17	0	5	0	0	22	4	137	2	1	0	144	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	182	8	0	0	192	359
Total	55	0	32	0	0	87	21	604	5	3	0	633	2	0	3	0	1	6	4	597	37	1	0	639	1365
Grand Total	120	0	72	0	0	192	42	1278	13	3	0	1336	4	1	8	0	1	14	15	946	60	1	0	1022	2564
Apprch %	62.5	0	37.5	0	0		3.1	95.7	1	0.2	0		28.6	7.1	57.1	0	7.1		1.5	92.6	5.9	0.1	0		
Total %	4.7	0	2.8	0	0	7.5	1.6	49.8	0.5	0.1	0	52.1	0.2	0	0.3	0	0	0.5	0.6	36.9	2.3	0	0	39.9	
Cars +	116	0	65	0	0	181	40	1224	13	3	0	1280	4	1	8	0	1	14	15	847	57	1	0	920	2395
% Cars +	96.7	0	90.3	0	0	94.3	95.2	95.8	100	100	0	95.8	100	100	100	0	100	100	100	89.5	95	100	0	90	93.4
Trucks	4	0	7	0	0	11	2	54	0	0	0	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	3	0	0	102	169
% Trucks	3.3	0	9.7	0	0	5.7	4.8	4.2	0	0	0	4.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.5	5	0	0	10	6.6



		Н	ouchi	ns Ro	ad				US	5-11				Br	istol I	Drive	SE				US	S-11			
			South	nboun	d				West	boun	b				North	boun	d				East	bound	k		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTm	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1																									
Peak Hour	for E	ntire	Inters	ection	n Beg	ins at (	08:00	AM																	
08:00 AM	10	0	11	0	0	21	4	152	0	0	0	156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	9	1	0	132	309
08:15 AM	15	0	11	0	0	26	7	148	1	1	0	157	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	149	12	0	0	161	345
08:30 AM	13	0	5	0	0	18	6	167	2	1	0	176	2	0	2	0	0	4	2	144	8	0	0	154	352
08:45 AM	17	0	5	0	0	22	4	137	2	1	0	144	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	182	8	0	0	192	359
Total Volume	55	0	32	0	0	87	21	604	5	3	0	633	2	0	3	0	1	6	4	597	37	1	0	639	1365
% App. Total	63.2	0	36.8	0	0		3.3	95.4	0.8	0.5	0		33.3	0	50	0	16.7		0.6	93.4	5.8	0.2	0		
PHF	.809	.000	.727	.000	.000	.837	.750	.904	.625	.750	.000	.899	.250	.000	.375	.000	.250	.375	.500	.820	.771	.250	.000	.832	.951





		Groups Printed- Cars + - Trucks Houchins Road US-11 Bristol Drive SE US-11																							
		H	ouchi	ins Ro	bad				US	S-11	-			Bi	ristol [	Drive	SE				US	S-11		l	
			South	nbour	nd				West	boun	d				North	boun	d				East	bound	k		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
04:00 PM	9	0	11	0	0	20	11	163	1	0	0	175	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	184	17	1	0	202	399
04:15 PM	9	0	13	0	0	22	5	154	0	0	0	159	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	188	10	0	0	198	380
04:30 PM	7	0	10	0	0	17	10	140	1	1	0	152	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	170	11	0	0	181	353
04:45 PM	9	0	4	0	0	13	10	159	0	0	0	169	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	166	15	0	0	181	368
Total	34	0	38	0	0	72	36	616	2	1	0	655	9	1	1	0	0	11	0	708	53	1	0	762	1500
05:00 PM	13	0	7	0	0	20	13	169	2	0	0	184	6	0	1	0	0	7	0	236	14	0	0	250	461
05:15 PM	21	0	6	0	0	27	8	154	1	0	0	163	6	0	1	0	0	7	0	195	19	0	1	215	412
05:30 PM	7	0	16	0	0	23	10	158	0	0	0	168	3	0	1	0	0	4	0	173	13	0	0	186	381
05:45 PM	14	0	4	0	0	18	8	139	1	1	0	149	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	147	18	0	0	165	333
Total	55	0	33	0	0	88	39	620	4	1	0	664	15	0	4	0	0	19	0	751	64	0	1	816	1587
Grand Total	89	0	71	0	0	160	75	1236	6	2	0	1319	24	1	5	0	0	30	0	1459	117	1	1	1578	3087
Apprch %	55.6	0	44.4	0	0		5.7	93.7	0.5	0.2	0		80	3.3	16.7	0	0		0	92.5	7.4	0.1	0.1		
Total %	2.9	0	2.3	0	0	5.2	2.4	40	0.2	0.1	0	42.7	0.8	0	0.2	0	0	1	0	47.3	3.8	0	0	51.1	
Cars +	87	0	70	0	0	157	72	1217	6	2	0	1297	24	1	5	0	0	30	0	1434	116	1	1	1552	3036
% Cars +	97.8	0	98.6	0	0	98.1	96	98.5	100	100	0	98.3	100	100	100	0	0	100	0	98.3	99.1	100	100	98.4	98.3
Trucks	2	0	1	0	0	3	3	19	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	1	0	0	26	51
% Trucks	2.2	0	1.4	0	0	1.9	4	1.5	0	0	0	1.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	0.9	0	0	1.6	1.7



		Н	ouchi	ns Ro	bad				US	S-11				Br	istol I	Drive	SE				US	S-11			]
			South	nboun	d				West	boun	d				North	boun	d				East	bound	ł		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTm	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour	Anal	ysis F	rom (	04:00	PM t	o 05:4	5 PM	- Pea	ak 1 o	f 1															
Peak Hour	for E	ntire	Inters	ection	n Beg	ins at	04:45	ΡM																	
04:45 PM	9	0	4	0	0	13	10	159	0	0	0	169	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	166	15	0	0	181	368
05:00 PM	13	0	7	0	0	20	13	169	2	0	0	184	6	0	1	0	0	7	0	236	14	0	0	250	461
05:15 PM	21	0	6	0	0	27	8	154	1	0	0	163	6	0	1	0	0	7	0	195	19	0	1	215	412
05:30 PM	7	0	16	0	0	23	10	158	0	0	0	168	3	0	1	0	0	4	0	173	13	0	0	186	381
Total Volume	50	0	33	0	0	83	41	640	3	0	0	684	20	0	3	0	0	23	0	770	61	0	1	832	1622
% App. Total	60.2	0	39.8	0	0		6	93.6	0.4	0	0		87	0	13	0	0		0	92.5	7.3	0	0.1		1
PHF	.595	.000	.516	.000	.000	.769	.788	.947	.375	.000	.000	.929	.833	.000	.750	.000	.000	.821	.000	.816	.803	.000	.250	.832	.880





						Group	s Printe	d- Cars	+ - Truc	cks						
		Ho	uchins F	Road			Ho	uchins F	Road			Cro	sscreek	Drive		
		S	outhbou	und			N	lorthbou	nd			E	Eastbou	nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	17	0	0	0	17	18
07:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	23	0	0	0	23	30
07:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	21	0	0	0	21	22
07:45 AM	0	1	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	6	16	0	0	0	16	23
Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	15	0	0	15	77	0	0	0	77	93
08:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	12	15
08:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	19	0	1	0	20	27
08:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	8	0	0	0	8	12
08:45 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	13	0	0	0	13	16
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	17	52	0	1	0	53	70
Grand Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	32	0	0	32	129	0	1	0	130	163
Apprch %	0	100	0	0		0	100	0	0		99.2	0	0.8	0		
Total %	0	0.6	0	0	0.6	0	19.6	0	0	19.6	79.1	0	0.6	0	79.8	
Cars +	0	1	0	0	1	0	30	0	0	30	126	0	1	0	127	158
% Cars +	0	100	0	0	100	0	93.8	0	0	93.8	97.7	0	100	0	97.7	96.9
Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3	5
% Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	6.2	0	0	6.2	2.3	0	0	0	2.3	3.1



		Но	uchins F	Road			Но	uchins F	load			Cro	sscreek	Drive		
		S	outhbou	ind			N	orthbou	nd			E	Eastbour	nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1																
Peak Hour for E	ntire Inte	rsectior	n Begins	s at 07:0	0 AM											
07:00 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	17	0	0	0	17	18
07:15 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	23	0	0	0	23	30
07:30 AM	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	21	0	0	0	21	22
07:45 AM	0	1	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	6	16	0	0	0	16	23
Total Volume	0	1	0	0	1	0	15	0	0	15	77	0	0	0	77	93
% App. Total	0	100	0	0		0	100	0	0		100	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.250	.000	.000	.250	.000	.536	.000	.000	.536	.837	.000	.000	.000	.837	.775





						Group	s Printe	d- Cars	+ - Tru	cks						
		Ho	uchins F	Road			Ho	uchins F	Road			Cros	sscreek	Drive		
		S	outhbou	Ind			N	lorthbou	Ind			E	astbou	nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
04:00 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15	8	0	0	0	8	23
04:15 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	9	5	0	0	0	5	14
04:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	0	0	13	5	0	0	0	5	18
04:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	19	7	0	0	0	7	26
Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	55	0	0	56	25	0	0	0	25	81
05:00 PM	0	2	0	0	2	0	18	0	0	18	10	0	0	0	10	30
05:15 PM	0	1	0	0	1	0	15	0	0	15	10	0	0	1	11	27
05:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	12	10	0	0	0	10	22
05:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	20	7	0	0	3	10	30
Total	0	3	0	0	3	0	65	0	0	65	37	0	0	4	41	109
Grand Total	0	3	0	0	3	1	120	0	0	121	62	0	0	4	66	190
Apprch %	0	100	0	0		0.8	99.2	0	0		93.9	0	0	6.1		
Total %	0	1.6	0	0	1.6	0.5	63.2	0	0	63.7	32.6	0	0	2.1	34.7	
Cars +	0	3	0	0	3	1	118	0	0	119	60	0	0	4	64	186
% Cars +	0	100	0	0	100	100	98.3	0	0	98.3	96.8	0	0	100	97	97.9
Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	4
% Trucks	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.7	0	0	1.7	3.2	0	0	0	3	2.1



		Но	uchins F	Road		Houchins Road Crosscreek Drive										
		S	outhbou	Ind			Ν	lorthbou	nd			E	Eastbou	nd		
Start Time	Right	Thru	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Thru	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Right	Left	UTrn	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1																
Peak Hour for E	ntire Inte	rsectior	n Begins	at 05:0	0 PM											
05:00 PM	0	2	0	0	2	0	18	0	0	18	10	0	0	0	10	30
05:15 PM	0	1	0	0	1	0	15	0	0	15	10	0	0	1	11	27
05:30 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	12	10	0	0	0	10	22
05:45 PM	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	20	7	0	0	3	10	30
Total Volume	0	3	0	0	3	0	65	0	0	65	37	0	0	4	41	109
% App. Total	0	100	0	0		0	100	0	0		90.2	0	0	9.8		
PHF	.000	.375	.000	.000	.375	.000	.813	.000	.000	.813	.925	.000	.000	.333	.932	.908



## APPENDIX B

Level of Service Definitions

#### **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM**

Subject: Level of Service Definitions

### Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to define the level of service (LOS) metric that commonly used as a measure of effectiveness (MOE) for traffic operations.

All capacity analyses are based on the procedures specified by the Transportation Research Board's (TRB) <u>Highway Capacity</u> <u>Manual</u> (HCM), which is currently on its sixth edition. Level of service ranges from A to F. A brief description of each level of service for signalized and unsignalized intersections is provided below.

### Signalized Intersections

Level of service is based upon the traffic volume present in each lane on the roadway, the capacity of each lane at the intersection and the delay associated with each directional movement. The levels of service for signalized intersections are defined below:

- <u>Level of Service A</u> describes operations with very low average delay per vehicle, i.e., less than 10.0 seconds. This occurs when progression is extremely favorable, and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Most vehicles do not stop. Short signal cycle lengths may also contribute to low delay.
- <u>Level of Service B</u> describes operations with average delay in the range of 10.1 to 20.0 seconds per vehicle. This generally occurs with good progression and/or short cycle lengths. More vehicles stop than for LOS A, causing higher levels of average delay.
- <u>Level of Service C</u> describes operations with delay in the range of 20.1 to 35.0 seconds per vehicle. These higher delays may result from fair progression and/or longer cycle lengths. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant at this level although many still pass through the intersection without stopping. This is generally considered the lower end of the range of the acceptable level of service in rural areas.
- Level of Service D describes operations with delay in the range of 35.1 to 55.0 seconds per vehicle. At LOS D, the influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, and/or high traffic volumes as compared to the roadway capacity. Many vehicles are required to stop and the number of vehicles that do not have to stop declines. Individual signal cycle failures, where all waiting vehicles do not clear the intersection during a single green time, are noticeable. This is generally considered the lower end of the range of the acceptable level of service in urban areas.
- <u>Level of Service E</u> describes operations with delay in the range of 55.1 to 80.0 seconds per vehicle. These higher delay values generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high traffic volumes. Individual cycle failures are frequent occurrences. LOS E has been set as the limit of acceptable conditions.
- <u>Level of Service F</u> describes operations with average delay in excess of 80.0 seconds per vehicle. This is considered to be unacceptable to most drivers. This condition often occurs with over-saturation, i.e., when traffic arrives at a flow rate that exceeds the capacity of the intersection. It may also occur at high volumes with many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also contribute to such delays.
### **Unsignalized Intersections**

At an unsignalized intersection, the major street through traffic and right-turns are assumed to operate unimpeded and therefore receive no level of service rating. The level of service for the minor street and the major street left-turn traffic is dependent on the volume and capacity of the available lanes, and, the number and frequency of acceptable gaps in the major street traffic to make a conflicting turn. The level of service grade is provided for each conflicting movement at an unsignalized intersection and is based on the total average delay experienced by each vehicle. The delay includes the time it takes a vehicle to move from the back of a queue through the intersection.

The unsignalized intersection level of service analysis does not account for variations in driver behavior or the effects of nearby traffic signals. Therefore, the results from this analysis usually indicate worse levels of service than may be experienced in the field. The unsignalized intersection level of service descriptions are provided below:

- <u>Level of Service A</u> describes operations where there is very little to no conflicting traffic for a minor side street movement, i.e., an average total delay of less than 10.0 seconds per vehicle.
- Level of Service B describes operations with average total delay in the range of 10.1 to 15.0 seconds per vehicle.
- Level of Service C describes operations with average total delay in the range of 15.1 to 25.0 second per vehicle.
- Level of Service D describes operations with average total delay in the range of 25.1 to 35.0 seconds per vehicle.
- Level of Service E describes operations with average total delay in the range of 35.1 to 50.0 seconds per vehicle.
- Level of Service F describes operations with average total delay of 50 seconds per vehicle. LOS F exists when there are insufficient gaps of suitable size to allow a side street demand to cross safely through or enter a major street traffic stream. This level of service is generally evident from extremely long total delays experienced by side street traffic and by queuing on the minor approaches. It is important to note that LOS F may not always result in long queues but may result in adjustments to normal driver behavior.

## APPENDIX C

Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Existing Conditions (2023)

### Intersection

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			4î b			a a	<b>^</b>	1		4		ኘ		1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	37	597	4	3	5	604	21	3	0	2	32	0	55	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	37	597	4	3	5	604	21	3	0	2	32	0	55	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
RT Channelized	-	-	-	None	-	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	0	-	-	-	75	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1	39	628	4	3	5	636	22	3	0	2	34	0	58	

Major/Minor	Major1			N	lajor2			Ν	/linor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	636	658	0	0	633	632	0	0	1044	1384	316	1046	-	318	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	710	710	-	652	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	334	674	-	394	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.44	4.14	-	-	6.44	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	-	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.52	2.22	-	-	2.52	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	-	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	567	926	-	-	570	947	-	-	183	142	680	183	0	678	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	391	435	-	423	0	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	653	452	-	602	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-			-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· 907	907	-	-	757	757	-	-	157	131	680	172	-	678	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	157	131	-	172	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	364	405	-	394	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	591	447	-	559	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.1	0.1	21.2	18.2	
HCM LOS			С	С	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	227	907	-	-	757	-	-	172	678
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.023	0.043	-	-	0.011	-	-	0.196	0.085
HCM Control Delay (s)	21.2	9.2	0.6	-	9.8	-	-	31	10.8
HCM Lane LOS	С	А	А	-	А	-	-	D	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	0.7	0.3

# Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	8.4						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	Y			र्भ	ef 👘		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	77	15	0	1	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	77	15	0	1	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	78	78	78	78	78	78	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	99	19	0	1	0	

Major/Minor	Minor2	ļ	Major1	Ma	jor2			
Conflicting Flow All	39	1	1	0	-	0		
Stage 1	1	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	38	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	973	1084	1622	-	-	-		
Stage 1	1022	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	984	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	961	1084	1622	-	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	961	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	1010	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	984	-	-	-	-	-		

Approach	EB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.7	7.2	0	
HCM LOS	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EB	Ln1	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1622	- 1	084	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.012	- 0.	091	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.2	0	8.7	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	А	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	0.3	-	-	

3

### Intersection

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			सीरे			24	<b>^</b>	1		\$		ľ		1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	61	770	1	1	3	640	41	3	0	20	33	0	50	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	61	770	1	1	3	640	41	3	0	20	33	0	50	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
RT Channelized	-	-	-	None	-	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	0	-	-	-	75	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1	69	875	1	1	3	727	47	3	0	23	38	0	57	

Major/Minor	Major1			N	lajor2			N	Minor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	727	774	0	0	876	876	0	0	1388	1798	438	1313	-	364	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1016	1016	-	735	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	372	782	-	578	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.44	4.14	-	-	6.44	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	-	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.52	2.22	-	-	2.52	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	-	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	497	837	-	-	399	766	-	-	102	79	567	116	0	633	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255	314	-	377	0	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	621	403	-	468	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-			-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	826	826	-	-	614	614	-	-	81	65	567	97	-	633	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81	65	-	97	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	213	262	-	315	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	561	400	-	375	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	2	0.1	17.3	32.1	
HCM LOS			С	D	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1 S	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	318	826	-	-	614	-	-	97	633
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.082	0.084	-	-	0.007	-	-	0.387	0.09
HCM Control Delay (s)	17.3	9.8	1.4	-	10.9	-	-	63.8	11.2
HCM Lane LOS	С	А	А	-	В	-	-	F	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0.3	-	-	0	-	-	1.6	0.3

### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	7.5						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۰¥			- <del>स</del> ी	4		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	37	65	0	3	0	
Future Vol, veh/h	0	37	65	0	3	0	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None	
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-	
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	-	0	0	-	
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	91	91	91	91	91	91	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	0	41	71	0	3	0	

Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1	Ma	jor2			
Conflicting Flow All	145	3	3	0	-	0		
Stage 1	3	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	142	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	847	1081	1619	-	-	-		
Stage 1	1020	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	885	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	r 810	1081	1619	-	-	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	r 810	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	975	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	885	-	-	-	-	-		

Approach	EB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	8.5	7.3	0
HCM LOS	А		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1619	-	1081	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.044	-	0.038	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	8.5	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	0.1	-	-

# APPENDIX D

Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – No-Build Conditions (2027)

### Intersection

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			सीरे			24	1	1		\$		ľ		1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	55	621	4	3	5	629	28	3	0	2	50	0	107	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	55	621	4	3	5	629	28	3	0	2	50	0	107	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop								
RT Channelized	-	-	-	None	-	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	0	-	-	-	75	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1	58	654	4	3	5	662	29	3	0	2	53	0	113	

Major/Minor	Major1			N	lajor2			Ν	Minor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	662	691	0	0	658	658	0	0	1121	1481	329	1123	-	331	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	774	774	-	678	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	347	707	-	445	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.44	4.14	-	-	6.44	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	-	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.52	2.22	-	-	2.52	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	-	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	546	900	-	-	549	926	-	-	161	124	667	160	0	665	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	357	406	-	408	0	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	642	436	-	562	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-			-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	885	885	-	-	735	735	-	-	122	110	667	145	-	665	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	122	110	-	145	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	320	363	-	365	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	527	431	-	501	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.5	0.1	25.5	21.6	
HCM LOS			D	С	

Vinor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1 S	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	181	885	-	-	735	-	-	145	665
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.029	0.065	-	-	0.011	-	-	0.363	0.169
HCM Control Delay (s)	25.5	9.4	0.8	-	10	-	-	43.3	11.5
HCM Lane LOS	D	А	А	-	А	-	-	E	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	0.2	-	-	0	-	-	1.5	0.6

Intersection										
Int Delay, s/veh	8.5									
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR				
Lane Configurations	Y			र्भ	el el					
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	147	38	0	1	0				
Future Vol, veh/h	0	147	38	0	1	0				
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free				
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None				
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-				
Veh in Median Storage,	# 0	-	-	0	0	-				
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-				
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92				
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2				
Mvmt Flow	0	160	41	0	1	0				

Major/Minor	Minor2	l	Major1	Ma	ajor2	
Conflicting Flow All	83	1	1	0	-	0
Stage 1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	82	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	919	1084	1622	-	-	-
Stage 1	1022	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	941	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	. 896	1084	1622	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	. 896	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	996	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	941	-	-	-	-	-

Approach	EB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	8.9	7.3	0
HCM LOS	А		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT EBI	_n1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1622	- 1(	)84	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.025	- 0.1	147	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	8.9	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	0.5	-	-

### Intersection

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			4î þ			a la	<b>^</b>	1		4		۲.		1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	111	801	1	1	3	666	59	3	0	21	45	0	84	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	111	801	1	1	3	666	59	3	0	21	45	0	84	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
RT Channelized	-	-	-	None	-	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	0	-	-	-	75	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1	121	871	1	1	3	724	64	3	0	23	49	0	91	

Major/Minor	Major1			N	lajor2			N	Minor1		Ν	Ainor2			
Conflicting Flow All	724	788	0	0	872	872	0	0	1486	1912	436	1412	-	362	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1116	1116	-	732	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	370	796	-	680	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.44	4.14	-	-	6.44	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	-	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.52	2.22	-	-	2.52	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	-	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	499	827	-	-	401	769	-	-	86	67	568	98	0	635	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	221	281	-	379	0	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	622	397	-	407	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-			-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	820	820	-	-	616	616	-	-	57	47	568	73	-	635	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57	47	-	73	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	157	200	-	270	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	529	395	-	278	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	3.3	0.1	19.9	50.4	
HCM LOS			С	F	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	268	820	-	-	616	-	-	73	635
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.097	0.147	-	-	0.007	-	-	0.67	0.144
HCM Control Delay (s)	19.9	10.2	2.3	-	10.9	-	-	122.8	11.6
HCM Lane LOS	С	В	А	-	В	-	-	F	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0.5	-	-	0	-	-	3	0.5

				1.11			
n	tΔ	rc	Δ	<u>ct</u> i	n	n	
	ιC	13		บแ	U		

h

Int Delay, s/veh	7.8					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	Y			- <del>द</del>	4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	82	132	0	3	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	82	132	0	3	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # 0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	89	143	0	3	0

Major/Minor	Minor2	l	Major1	Ma	or2				
Conflicting Flow All	289	3	3	0	-	0			
Stage 1	3	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	286	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	4.12	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-			
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-			
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	2.218	-	-	-			
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	702	1081	1619	-	-	-			
Stage 1	1020	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	763	-	-	-	-	-			
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-			
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	640	1081	1619	-	-	-			
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· 640	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 1	930	-	-	-	-	-			
Stage 2	763	-	-	-	-	-			

Approach	EB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	8.6	7.4	0
HCM LOS	A		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT E	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1619	-	1081	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.089	-	0.082	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	8.6	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	0.3	-	-

# APPENDIX E

Intersection Capacity Analysis Results – Build Conditions (2027)

### Intersection

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			đ þ			24	<b>^</b>	1		4		٦		1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	71	621	4	3	5	629	33	3	0	2	65	0	154	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	71	621	4	3	5	629	33	3	0	2	65	0	154	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop							
RT Channelized	-	-	-	None	-	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	0	-	-	-	75	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage,	,# -	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	95	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1	75	654	4	3	5	662	35	3	0	2	68	0	162	

Major/Minor	Major1			N	lajor2			Ν	Ainor1		Ν	Ainor2			
Conflicting Flow All	662	697	0	0	658	658	0	0	1155	1521	329	1157	-	331	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	808	808	-	678	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	347	713	-	479	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.44	4.14	-	-	6.44	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	-	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.52	2.22	-	-	2.52	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	-	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	546	895	-	-	549	926	-	-	152	117	667	151	0	665	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	341	392	-	408	0	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	642	434	-	537	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-			-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· 881	881	-	-	735	735	-	-	102	100	667	134	-	665	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	102	100	-	134	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	295	339	-	353	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	480	429	-	463	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	1.9	0.1	29.2	25.5	
HCM LOS			D	D	

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2
Capacity (veh/h)	154	881	-	-	735	-	-	134	665
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.034	0.085	-	-	0.011	-	-	0.511	0.244
HCM Control Delay (s)	29.2	9.5	1	-	10	-	-	57	12.2
HCM Lane LOS	D	А	А	-	А	-	-	F	В
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	0.3	-	-	0	-	-	2.4	1

### Intersection

Movement WBL WBR NBT NBR SBL SBT
Lane Configurations 🦞 🚯 🦨
Traffic Vol, veh/h 47 0 43 16 0 163
Future Vol, veh/h 47 0 43 16 0 163
Conflicting Peds, #/hr 0 0 0 0 0 0
Sign Control Stop Stop Free Free Free Free
RT Channelized - None - None - None
Storage Length 0
Veh in Median Storage, # 0 - 0 0
Grade, % 0 - 0 0
Peak Hour Factor 92 92 92 92 92 92
Heavy Vehicles, % 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Mvmt Flow 51 0 47 17 0 177

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	/lajor1	N	lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	233	56	0	0	64	0		
Stage 1	56	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	177	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	- 1	2.218	-		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	755	1011	-	-	1538	-		
Stage 1	967	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	854	-	-	-	-	-		
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	755	1011	-	-	1538	-		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	755	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 1	967	-	-	-	-	-		
Stage 2	854	-	-	-	-	-		

Approach	WB	NB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	10.1	0	0
HCM LOS	В		

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 755	1538	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.068	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 10.1	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	0	-	

### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			÷			÷			÷	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	147	15	0	0	38	0	5	0	1	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	147	15	0	0	38	0	5	0	1	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	160	16	0	0	41	0	5	0	1	0

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Ν	lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	86	88	1	166	86	3	1	(	)	0	5	0	0	
Stage 1	1	1	-	85	85	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	85	87	-	81	1	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12		-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218		-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	900	802	1084	798	804	1081	1622		-	-	1616	-	-	
Stage 1	1022	895	-	923	824	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	923	823	-	927	895	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %									-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	883	782	1084	667	784	1081	1622		-	-	1616	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	883	782	-	667	784	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	996	895	-	900	803	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	900	802	-	790	895	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.9	10.5	6.4	0	
HCM LOS	А	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1\	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1622	-	-	1084	667	1616	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.025	-	-	0.147	0.024	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	8.9	10.5	0	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	Α	-	Α	В	Α	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.5	0.1	0	-	-	

### Intersection

Movement	EBU	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBU	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations			सीरे			24	1	1		¢		ľ		1	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1	155	801	1	1	3	666	73	3	0	21	55	0	115	
Future Vol, veh/h	1	155	801	1	1	3	666	73	3	0	21	55	0	115	
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Sign Control	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop								
RT Channelized	-	-	-	None	-	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	125	-	0	-	-	-	75	-	0	
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Grade, %	-	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Mvmt Flow	1	168	871	1	1	3	724	79	3	0	23	60	0	125	

Major/Minor	Major1			N	lajor2			N	Minor1		Ν	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	724	803	0	0	872	872	0	0	1580	2021	436	1506	-	362	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1210	1210	-	732	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	370	811	-	774	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.44	4.14	-	-	6.44	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	-	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.52	2.22	-	-	2.52	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	-	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	499	817	-	-	401	769	-	-	73	57	568	83	0	635	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	194	254	-	379	0	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	622	391	-	357	0	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-			-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	· 812	812	-	-	616	616	-	-	40	34	568	~ 54	-	635	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	34	-	~ 54	-	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	115	151	-	225	-	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	496	389	-	204	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	4.3	0.1	24.1	98.6	
HCM LOS			С	F	

								-	-	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1	SBLn2	
Capacity (veh/h)	214	812	-	-	616	-	-	54	635	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.122	0.207	-	-	0.007	-	-	1.107	0.197	
HCM Control Delay (s)	24.1	10.6	3.1	-	10.9	-	-	279.6	12.1	
HCM Lane LOS	С	В	А	-	В	-	-	F	В	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.4	0.8	-	-	0	-	-	5.1	0.7	
Notos										
10163										
~· Volume exceeds capacity	\$ D	elav exc	eeds 30	<u> 200</u>	+· Com	putatio	n Not D	efined	*∙ All	major volume in platoor

### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh	1							
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	۰¥		4			÷		
Traffic Vol, veh/h	31	0	146	44	0	95		
Future Vol, veh/h	31	0	146	44	0	95		
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free		
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None		
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-		
Veh in Median Storage	,# 0	-	0	-	-	0		
Grade, %	0	-	0	-	-	0		
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92		
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Mvmt Flow	34	0	159	48	0	103		

Major/Minor	Minor1	Ν	/lajor1	Ν	/lajor2		
Conflicting Flow All	286	183	0	0	207	0	
Stage 1	183	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	103	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	6.42	6.22	-	-	4.12	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.42	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	3.318	-	-	2.218	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	704	859	-	-	1364	-	
Stage 1	848	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	921	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	704	859	-	-	1364	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	· 704	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	848	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	921	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	10.4	0	0	
HCM LOS	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBT	NBRWBLn1	SBL	SBT	
Capacity (veh/h)	-	- 704	1364	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	-	- 0.048	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	-	- 10.4	0	-	
HCM Lane LOS	-	- B	А	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	-	- 0.2	0	-	

### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	82	10	0	0	132	0	14	0	3	0
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	82	10	0	0	132	0	14	0	3	0
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	0	0	89	11	0	0	143	0	15	0	3	0

Major/Minor	Minor2		[	Vinor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	297	304	3	342	297	8	3	0	0	15	0	0	
Stage 1	3	3	-	294	294	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	294	301	-	48	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	655	609	1081	612	615	1074	1619	-	-	1603	-	-	
Stage 1	1020	893	-	714	670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	714	665	-	965	893	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	610	555	1081	523	560	1074	1619	-	-	1603	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	610	555	-	523	560	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	929	893	-	650	610	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	650	606	-	885	893	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	8.6	12	6.7	0	
HCM LOS	A	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1\	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1619	-	-	1081	523	1603	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.089	-	-	0.082	0.021	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	8.6	12	0	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	В	Α	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0.3	0.1	0	-	-	

### APPENDIX F

**Turn Lane Warrant Assessment** 

# Right Turn Lane Warrant Assessment

Two-Lane Highways

Based on NCHRP Report 279 / VDOT RDM Appendix F "Intersection Channelization Guide"

### Background:

Warrants for right-turn storage lanes on two-lane highways at unsignalized intersections are based on Figure 3-26 in Appendix F of the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) **Road Design Manual** (RDM). This figure provides a graphical representation for determining the necessity of a right turn lane and / or taper by comparing the total volumes of a given approach with their respective right turn volumes.

### **Project Information:**

Project:	
Project ID:	
Intersection(s) and Movement(s):	1 -
	2 - Houchins Road at South Site Driveway
	3 - Houchins Road at Crosscreek Drive / North Site Driveway
	4 -
	5 -
Scenario:	Build (2027) Conditions
Analyst:	Gorove Slade

Study Scenario	Approach Volume	Right Turn Volume	Minimum Right Turn Taper Threshold	Minimum Right Turn Full Lane Threshold	Treatment
INT 2 - NBR - Build 2027 AM Peak	59	16	64	110	Not Warranted
INT 2 - NBR - Build 2027 PM Peak	190	44	51	93	Not Warranted
INT 3 - NBR - Build 2027 AM Peak	43	5	66	112	Not Warranted
INT 3 - NBR - Build 2027 PM Peak	146	14	55	99	Not Warranted



Gorove Slade Transportation Engineers and Planners

# APPENDIX G

VDOT Pre-Scope Form

### THIS IS A NOT CHAPTER 870 STUDY



# PRE-SCOPE OF WORK MEETING FORM

Information on the Project Traffic Impact Analysis Base Assumptions

The applicant is responsible for entering the relevant information and submitting the form to VDOT and the locality no less than three (3) business days prior to the meeting. If a form is not received by this deadline, the scope of work meeting may be postponed.

<b>Contact Information</b>											
Consultant Name:	Mike Bailey, P.E. –	Gorove Sl	ade Associates,	Inc.							
Tele:	804-310-6040										
E-mail:	mb@goroveslade.com										
Developer/Owner Name:	John Neel – Foresi	ght Desigr	Services								
Tele:	1260 Radford Stre	et									
E-mail:	Christiansburg, VA	24073									
<b>Project Information</b>											
Project Name:	Houchins Road Townhomes		Locality/Cou	inty:	Montgomery Co	unty					
Project Location: (Attach regional and site specific location map)	See Figure 1			1							
Submission Type	Comp Plan 🗌	REZ/S	UP 🖂	Sit	te Plan 🗌	Subd Plat 🗌					
<b>Project Description:</b> (Including details on the land use, acreage, phasing, access location, etc. Attach additional sheet if necessary)	The proposed develo Montgomery County parcels total approxi Montgomery County The proposed develo access includes two	opment is p 's Parcel V mately 26 '. opment pla full-moven	planned to occupy iewer with the Ta acres and are bot n includes the co tent driveways of	y two p ax Map th zone nstruc n Houc	parcels of land, whic IDs 080-A46 and 0 ed as M-1 (Industria tion of 171 townho chins Road (Route 7	ch can be identified on 80-A44 . The two al Manufacturing) by mes. The proposed site 58).					
	Residential 🖂	Comme	rcial 🗌	Mix	xed Use 🗌	Other 🗌					
	Other Use(s)	•		Com	mercial Use(s)	•					
Proposed Use(s):	ITE LU Code(s): 21	5 (Single-	Family	ITE	LU Code(s): n/a						
additional pages as necessary)	Attached Housing)										
	Square Ft or Other Variable: 171 d.u. Square Ft or Other Variable: n/a										
Total Peak Hour Trip Projection:	Less than 100   100 - 499   500 - 999   1,000 or more										

Traffic Impact Analysis Assumptions										
Study Period	Exi	sting Year: 20	)23	Build-out Year: 2027	7	Design Year: 2027				
Study Area Boundaries	North: See Figure 1					South:				
(Attach map)		st:			East					
External Factors That Could Affect Project (Planned road improvements, other nearby developments)	TBI	)								
Consistency With Comprehensive Plan (Land use, transportation plan)	The are	The Montgomery County Comprehensive Plan lists this area as an urban expansion area.								
Available Traffic Data (Historical, forecasts)	VD	VDOT Historical AADT Data (2016-2021)								
Trip Distribution (Please refer to attached Figure 2 in Supplement)	Road Name: Roanoke Street (to/from the East) – 25%					Road Name:				
	Road Name: Roanoke Street (to/from the West) – 75%					Road Name:				
Annual Vehicle Trip Growth Rate:	1.0	% / yr	Pea (che	ak Period for Study eck all that apply)		🖾 AM 🖾 PM 🗌 SAT				
	Pe (to			ak Hour of the Adj. De used in study)						
Study Intersections and/or Road Segments (Attach additional sheets as necessary) (Please refer to attached Figure 1.)	1.	Roanoke Str BUS) at Hou Drive	reet ( Ichin	U.S. 11/U.S. 460 s Road / Bristol	7.					
	2.	Houchins Ro Driveway	oad a	t Proposed Site	8.					
	3.	Houchins Road at Crosscreek Drive / Proposed Site Driveway								
	4.				10.					
	5.	5.								
	6.									
Trip Adjustment	Internal allowance Reduction:					Pass-by allowance Reduction:				
Factors	🗌 Yes 🖂 No					🗌 Yes 🖾 No				
Software Methodology		Synchro 🗌	] HCS	S (v.2000/+) SID	RA		RSIM 🗌 Other			

Traffic Signal Proposed	Existing traffic signals that could be affected: None						
or Affected	Analysis Software: Synchro version 11						
(Analysis software to be used,	Results: HCM 6 Methodology (See Note 6)						
progression speed, cycle length)	Queue Lengths to be Reported: 95th Percentile						
Improvement(s)							
Assumed or to be	TBD						
Considered							
Background Traffic Studies Considered	Walnut Creek Residential – 22 single-family detached, 145 townhomes						
Plan Submission	Master Development Plan (MDP)Generalized Development Plan (GDP)Preliminary/Sketch PlanOther Plan type (Final Site, Subd. Plan)						
Additional Issues to be Addressed	Queuing analysis Actuation/Coordination Weaving analysis   Merge analysis Bike/Ped Accommodations Intersection(s)   TDM Measures Other ()						

### NOTES on ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. Traffic signal timings will be obtained from VDOT, if necessary.
- 2. The scenarios to be included in the study are Existing Conditions (2023), No-Build (2025), and Build (2025). The study will analyze the weekday AM and PM peak hours.
- 3. 2023 existing "baseline" condition counts will be collected at the study intersections.
- 4. Existing peak hour factors will be based on the traffic counts and utilized on a by-intersection basis. Peak hour factors by intersection in the range of 0.85 to 1.00 will be used for existing scenario. Peak hour factors of 0.92 will be used for all future scenarios if the existing peak hour factor by intersection is less than 0.92.
- 5. Heavy vehicle percentages (HV%) will be based on count data.
- 6. HCM 6 methodology will be utilized where applicable; HCM 2000 methodology will be utilized if HCM 6 methodology is not applicable.
- 7. Turn lane warrants will be assessed at the proposed site driveway(s).

### Table 1: ITE Trip Generation (11<sup>th</sup> Edition)

Land Use	ITE Code								
		Size Units	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour			Weekday
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	171 d.u.	21	62	83	58	41	99	1,254

### Figure 1: Site Location and Study Intersections



#### Figure 2: Direction of Approach



#### Figure 3: Proposed Site Plan



# McClane Heights

APPENDIX I: TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS SHEETZ COMPARISON

### Houchins Road Townhomes – Section 11 Montgomery County, VA

# ITE Trip Generation – Typical Weekday – 11<sup>th</sup> Edition

Land Use	ITE Code								
		Size Units	AM Peak Hour			PMPeak Hour			Weekday
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	88 d.u.	17	49	66	56	32	88	897
Single-Family Attached Housing	215	20 d.u.	2	8	10	7	4	11	144
Total Site Trips			19	57	76	63	36	99	1,041